arXiv:1609.08526v1 [quant-ph] 27 Sep 2016

PATH INTEGRALS AND LIE GROUPS[a]

Akira Inomata
Department of Physics, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, N.Y. 12222, USA

Georg Junker
Institut für Theoretische Physik I, Universität Erlangen–Nürnberg, Staudtstr. 7, D–91058 Erlangen, Germany.

The roles of Lie groups in Feynman's path integrals in non-relativistic quantum mechanics are discussed. Dynamical as well as geometrical symmetries are found useful for path integral quantization. Two examples having the symmetry of a non-compact Lie group are considered. The first is the free quantum motion of a particle on a space of constant negative curvature. The system has a group $SO(d,1)$ associated with the geometrical structure, to which the technique of harmonic analysis on a homogeneous space is applied. As an example of a system having a non-compact dynamical symmetry, the d-dimensional harmonic oscillator is chosen, which has the non-compact dynamical group $SU(1,1)$ besides its geometrical symmetry $SO(d)$. The radial path integral is seen as a convolution of the matrix functions of a compact group element of $SU(1,1)$ on the continuous basis.

I. PROLOGUE

Needless to say, Lie groups have a special importance in quantum mechanics. The Lie group often details the kinematical symmetry of a quantum system. The analysis of angular momentum on the basis of the rotation group $SO(3)$ or the unitary group $SU(2)$ is a classic example. The Lie group sometimes reveals itself in the structure of quantum dynamics. The well-known accidental degeneracy of the Coulomb problem has been ascribed to its dynamical symmetry $SO(4)$ which is not shared by other spherically symmetric systems. In the applications to non-relativistic quantum mechanics, it is usually associated with Schrödinger's differential equation or involved in making a algebraic framework of a quantum system. Rather independent of physics, there are extensive studies of differential equations and special functions from the Lie group representation aspect [1, 2]. The spectrum-generating algebraic approach has placed many of the standard exactly soluble problems in quantum mechanics within the $su(1,1)$ algebraic scheme and opened the field of infinite component theories for composite systems [3]. However, little is known about the roles of Lie groups in Feynman's path integral. As the kernel of the heat equation is easily obtainable from the Fourier analysis, the path integral representing the kernel of the Schrödinger equation may be studied from a more general harmonic analysis point of view. In recent years, there have been considerable developments in the study of the Lie group theoretical approach to Feynman's path integral [4-10]. In this report, we would like to highlight the main ideas and results of the study.

Any standard text book of quantum mechanics contains the treatment of central potential problems in polar coordinates, whereas nearly all of the books dealing with Feynman's path integral ignore the polar coordinate treatment. This is simply a reflection of the situations that path integration is difficult in non-cartesian variables and hence that Feynman's path integral can be explicitly calculated only for the harmonic oscillator or more general quadratic systems. The polar coordinate formulation was already initiated, to the authors' knowledge, by Ozaki [11] as early as 1955, and independently, by Edwards and Gulyaev [12] in 1964. They separated the angular part and the radial part of the path integral for a spherically symmetric system in polar coordinates and calculated the propagator for a free particle. The angular path integration for a free particle or a particle in a central potential is in essence an application of harmonic analysis based on the unitary representation of $SO(3)$ – an elementary application of the Peter-Weyl theorem.

However, the method of explicit calculation of the radial path integral [13] was not known until 1969, without which the polar coordinate path integral has of little interest. The energy spectrum of a central potential problem arises only from the radial path integral. Harmonic analysis of the radial path integral is not as simple as that of the angular part. For a free particle, extension of $SO(3)$ to the Euclidean group $E(3)$ is sufficient for covering both the radial part as well as the angular part [14]. In the presence of a central potential, the translational symmetry is broken, so that the Euclidean group becomes inapplicable. It turns out that the radial path integral is most conveniently analyzed on the basis of the dynamical group $SU(1,1)$ [15].

[a] Published in: E.A. Tanner and R. Wilson (eds.), *Noncompact Lie Groups and Some of Their Applications*, (NATO ASI Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994) p. 199.

In 1968, in order to demonstrate that the sum over classical paths is exact, Schulman [16] calculated semiclassically the path integral for the symmetric top on the manifold of $SU(2)$. In relation with Schulman's observation, Dowker [17] showed that the sum over classical paths is exact on the manifold of a class of Lie groups. Since explicit evaluation of Feynman's path integral was difficult, attention was mainly focused on semiclassical approximation and examination of its exactness. What Schulman and Dowker have studied are harmonic expansions of the propagators in terms of spherical functions. The semiclassical approximation is not generally exact even if space is symmetric.

It is a strange fact that Feynman's path integral cannot provide the solution for the hydrogen atom problem. Since no closed form expression for the propagator of the hydrogen atom is available, there have been a number of attempts to construct one. Historically, Feynman's path integral was once expected as a possible means to find the Coulomb propagator. Soon it was recognized that the power of the path integral method was very limited in deriving exact results. None of the integral representations of the Coulomb propagator so far available was originated from Feynman's path integral. In the summers of 1976-78, the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transformation became a topic of discussions in the seminars of the University of Munich. Barut and Wilson [18] were mainly investigating the KS mapping as a realization of the subgroup of a wider dynamical group $SO(4,2)$. One of the present authors examined its use in the path integral for the hydrogen atom, and realized that the path integral given in the KS coordinates does not help in constructing a closed form expression for the Coulomb propagator. The discussions of Munich were carried over to Trieste in 1978, involving Barut, Duru, Wilson and others [19]. Barut was optimistic about the use of the KS transformation in path integration. In 1979, Duru and Kleinert [20], formally applying the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transformation of space and time to the Hamiltonian path integral for the hydrogen atom, succeeded to derive an integral representation for the Coulomb propagator. Giving up the hope for expressing the Coulomb propagator in closed form, the authors of ref. [22] applied the KS transformation to the Lagrangian path integral, carrying out the explicit time-sliced path integral calculation, to arrive at the exact expression for the energy-dependent Green function of the hydrogen atom, previously obtained by Hostler [23] from Schrödinger's equation.

The Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transformation was originally introduced for regularization of the classical Kepler orbit [21]. It consists of a space transformation $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ and a position-dependent time transformation. In particular, the time transformation is integrable only along the classical orbit. In path integration, there is no unique orbit, and such a formal calculation often involves ambiguity [24]. The significance of these results is that Feynman's path integral, if slightly modified, can produce solutions for systems other than quadratic systems. Furthermore, the successful use of the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel map that takes the geometrical symmetry group $SO(3)$ to the dynamical symmetry group $SO(4)$ suggests that the dynamical symmetry could play a role in path integral calculation. The fact of matter is that the path integral for the Coulomb problem can be solved in terms of polar variables without the help of the KS coordinates [25]. Yet, the local time transformation of Kustaanheimo and Stiefel was found essential for solving the Coulomb path integral problem.

Noticing that the Pöschl-Teller oscillator has the dynamical symmetry of $SU(2)$ [26], the one-dimensional path integral for this system is extended to the path integral on $SU(2)$ with the aid of the asymptotic form of an integral representation for the modified Bessel function [4, 6]. This nontrivial dimensional extension of the path integral has enabled us to carry out path integration in the way angular path integration was completed. Naturally, a particle bound in the modified Pöschl-Teller potential, having the dynamical symmetry of $SU(1,1)$ [27], can be path integrated in $SU(1,1)$. In fact, in combination with a local time transformation of the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel type, a number of systems have been solved in the manifold of $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$. Of course, $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$ can be identified with $S^3 = SO(4)/SO(3)$ and $\Lambda^3 = SO(3,1)/SO(2,1)$, respectively. We shall call those systems path integrable on S^d or Λ^d the systems of the *hypergeometric class*. The stochastic processes corresponding to Feynman's path integrals of the hypergeometric class are called the *Legendre processes* [28]. Although a system of $SU(2)$ can be converted to a system of $SU(1,1)$ by analytic continuation, the role of the non-compact group $SU(1,1)$ in path integration is not completely expressed by analogy from the case of $SU(2)$. More subtle treatments are needed for non-compact systems. On these backgrounds, a general formulation of the path integration on a group manifold, compact or non-compact, has been developed [7, 10].

As has been mentioned above, the radial path integral can be handled in a way very similar to the spectrum generating algebra of $SU(1,1)$. Although this method yields solutions only for an isotropic harmonic oscillator in an inverse-square potential, the path integral for a number of systems can be transformed into such a standard harmonic oscillator form if the local time transformation technique is used [15]. We shall refer to the systems soluble by radial path integration as those of the *confluent hypergeometric class*. Again, the stochastic counterparts are called the *Bessel processes* [29]. For the hypergeometric class, the spherical functions involved are the matrix elements on the discrete basis. In the case of the confluent hypergeometric class, the matrix elements adopted are on a continuous basis [30]. The matrix elements of $SU(1,1)$ on continuous bases have been discussed by Barut and Fronsdal [31], Barut and Phillips [32], Lindblad and Nagel [33], and Mukunda and Radhakrishnan [34].

Section 2 briefly reviews the relations of Feynman's path integral to the evolution operator, the propagator, the resolvent, the energy-dependent Green function and the promotor. In Section 3, path integrals on homogeneous spaces

are discussed. In Sections 4 and 5, two specific path integrals are solved with the help of harmonic analysis. Section 4 deals with a free particle on a space of constant negative curvature and Section 5 analyses the dynamical group of the path integral for the harmonic oscillator in d-dimensions. A brief review of the rudiments of harmonic analysis is given in Appendix.

II. FEYNMAN'S PATH INTEGRAL

Let us start with the Hamiltonian of the standard form,

$$H = H_0 + V(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{p}^2/(2M) + V(\mathbf{x}), \tag{1}$$

which acts on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The operators $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ represent the momentum and position of a particle of mass M moving in the d-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ under the influence of a scalar potential V. They obey Heisenberg's commutation relations $x_i p_k - p_k x_i = \mathrm{i}\hbar\delta_{ik}$ $(i,k = 1,2,\ldots,d)$ where x_i and p_k are cartesian components of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$. As the Schrödinger operator acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Hamiltonian (1) is self-adjoint with $D(H) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but not necessarily bounded. The time evolution of the system from the initial time t_0 to the final time t is described by the unitary evolution operator $U(t,t_0) = \exp[-(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)(t-t_0)H]$. As long as the Hamiltonian (1) is self-adjoint, the time evolution forms a one-parameter group. Under the causal restriction $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$, the evolution operator satisfies the initial condition, $U(t_0,t_0) = 1$, and the composition rule, $U(t,t_1)U(t_1,t_0) = U(t,t_0)$.

The propagator is a matrix element of the evolution operator in the position representation defined only for $t'' > t'$:

$$K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';t''-t') = \langle \mathbf{x}'' \mid \exp\{-(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)(t''-t')H\} \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}(t')$ and $\mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{x}(t'')$. The initial condition of the evolution operator provides us the normalization condition,

$$\lim_{t\to t'} K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}';t-t') = \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'). \tag{3}$$

From the composition rule readily follows the semi-group property,

$$K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';t''-t') = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\, K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x};t''-t)\, K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}';t-t'), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$ is the translation-invariant Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The property (4) leads to

$$K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';t''-t') = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{N-1}\, K(\mathbf{x}_N,\mathbf{x}_{N-1};\tau_N) \cdots K(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_0;\tau_1), \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}(t_j)$, $\tau_j = t_j - t_{j-1} > 0$, $t' = t_0$ and $t'' = t_N$. For convenience, as Feynman did, we adopt now on the isometric subdivision of the time interval $\tau = t''-t'$ as $\tau_j = \tau/N = \epsilon$ for all j.

In the celebrated 1948 paper, Feynman [35] asserted that the (infinitesimally) short-time propagator can be given by

$$\tilde{K}(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1};\epsilon) = \left[\frac{M}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon}\right]^{d/2} \exp\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} S_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1})\right], \tag{6}$$

with the short-time action,

$$S_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1}) = \frac{M}{2\epsilon}\,(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_{j-1})^2 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\Big(V(\mathbf{x}_j) + V(\mathbf{x}_{j-1})\Big). \tag{7}$$

Feynman's assertion implies that the propagator can be calculated with (6) by the infinite convolution formula,

$$K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\tau) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_j \prod_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{K}(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1};\epsilon). \tag{8}$$

Feynman's formula for the propagator was proven stochastically by Kac [36] for an imaginary time $\beta = \mathrm{i}\tau/\hbar > 0$. For real time, Nelson [37] has a proof for potentials of the Kato class, and Faris [38, 39] has a proof for the Rollnik class. Both are based on Trotter's product formula,

$$\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)\tau H} = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\lim_{N\to\infty}\left(\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)\tau H_0/N(1-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)}\,\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)\tau V/N}\right)^N. \tag{9}$$

In practice, Feynman's path integral can be evaluated only for quadratic systems. To expand the scope of Feynman's path integral, we also pay attention to the resolvent of the Hamiltonian,

$$G = \frac{1}{E-H} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau\,\exp\{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)\tau(E-H)\}, \qquad \mathrm{Im}\,E > 0. \tag{10}$$

The matrix element of the resolvent in the position representation, which is often referred to as the energy-dependent Green function, is given by

$$G(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';E) = \langle\mathbf{x}''|(E-H)^{-1}|\mathbf{x}'\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau\,P(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\tau), \tag{11}$$

where

$$P(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\tau) = \langle\mathbf{x}''|\exp\{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)\tau(E-H)\}|\mathbf{x}'\rangle. \tag{12}$$

The last entity $P(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\tau)$, which we call the *promotor*, is also expressible as a path integral in Feynman's form. The Green function contains the same quantum-mechanical information as that the propagator has. Therefore, the path integral may be calculated for the promotor rather than the propagator. Once the Green function is found with the help of the promotor, it can also be converted into the propagator by a Fourier transformation.

It is true that the path integral structure of the promotor is identical with that of the propagator except for the additional energy term. When Feynman's path integral is difficult to evaluate, the path integral for the promotor is equally difficult to calculate. Nevertheless, the promotor has a unique advantage. Suppose it is transformed into

$$\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\sigma) = \langle\mathbf{x}''|\exp\{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)\sigma f(\mathbf{x})(E-H)g(\mathbf{x})\}|\mathbf{x}'\rangle \tag{13}$$

with $\sigma = \tau/[f(\mathbf{x}')g(\mathbf{x}'')]$ and positive-definite q-number functions $f(\mathbf{x})$ and $g(\mathbf{x})$. Then we can show that the Green function (11) can also be evaluated by

$$G(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';E) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\sigma\,\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\sigma)\,(\mathrm{d}\tau/\mathrm{d}\sigma). \tag{14}$$

The result remains the same. This is a remarkable property. The above time transformation of the promotor is sometimes called the *local time rescaling trick* [15]. This added flexibility in the path integral has contributed much to the development of path integral calculus in the last decade. In this regard, when we talk about Feynman's path integral, we may include the path integral for the promotor as well as the original path integral for the propagator. All the arguments given now on are equally applicable to the propagator and the promotor.

Suppose Feynman's assertion is fully justified for a certain class of potentials. However, we have to note that the background space of the time-sliced path integral (11) is $\mathbb{R}^d$. In fact, Feynman recognized that the cartesian coordinate system played a special role in defining Feynman's path integral, and suggested that only the short-time propagator found in cartesian variables may be expressed by a coordinate transformation in any choice of coordinate variables [35]. The special role of the cartesian coordinates is not unique in Feynman's path integral. We can find a similar situation in the canonical quantization procedure. Recall that Heisenberg's commutation relations are applicable only to cartesian variables. In setting up Schrödinger's equation from the Hamiltonian (1), we have to replace $\mathbf{p}^2$ by $-(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)^2\nabla^2$ in cartesian variables. Then, for a spherically symmetric system, for instance, we transform the Lapalace-Beltrami operator ∇^2 from cartesian variables to polar coordinates. The connection of these two similar situations must be of a profound significance.

By expressing the short-time propagator in polar coordinates and expanding in terms of Legendre functions (the zonal spherical functions on S^2), Feynman's path integral in three dimensions has been separated into the radial path integral and the angular part [12, 13] as ($r' = |\mathbf{x}'|$, $r'' = |\mathbf{x}''|$)

$$K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\tau) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{l=0}^\infty (2l+1)\,K_l(r'',r';\tau)\,P_l(\mathbf{x}'\cdot\mathbf{x}''/r'r''). \tag{15}$$

Similarly, it is possible to express Feynman's path integral in any desired coordinate system as long as the background space remains flat. However, there is no established formulation of a path integral in a general curved space. In this article, our interest is not in formulating a path integral in a general curved space. We are rather interested in the questions as to how we can take advantage of a symmetry of the physical system when we carry out path integration and whether the path integral can be extended to a homogeneous space (or more restrictively a symmetric space) and solved in much the same fashion that the polar coordinate path integral is treated.

III. PATH INTEGRAL ON A HOMOGENEOUS SPACE

Let a group G be a transformation group on a space $\mathcal{M}$. If G acts transitively on $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a homogeneous space with respect to G. If H is the isotropy group of G at a point q_a of $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M} = G/H$. For details, see Appendix.

In an effort to understand Feynman's path integral on a homogeneous space, we assert that the propagator on a space $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a measure $\mathrm{d}q$ can be given by the following multi-convolution, ($q'' = q_N$, $q' = q_0$)

$$K(q'', q'; \tau) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}q_1 \cdots \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}q_{N-1}\, \tilde{K}(q_N, q_{N-1}; \epsilon) \cdots \tilde{K}(q_1, q_0; \epsilon), \tag{16}$$

in analogy to the time-sliced path integral (8). The finite-time propagator in $\mathcal{M}$ is defined in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{M})$. Here we assume that it has the following properties,

$$\lim_{t\to t'} K(q, q'; t - t') = \delta(q - q'), \tag{17}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}q\, K(q'', q; t'' - t)\, K(q, q'; t - t') = K(q'', q'; t'' - t). \tag{18}$$

Note that the finite-time propagator $K(q'', q'; \tau)$ approaches the short-time propagator $\tilde{K}(q, q'; \epsilon)$ as τ tends to ϵ, but the converse is not true. The functional form of $K(q'', q'; \tau)$ is generally different from $\tilde{K}(q, q'; \epsilon)$. The latter can be an approximation of the former. However, the short-time propagator has to obey the normalization condition (17). It must also satisfy the semi-group property (18) when the exponential contributions of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ are ignored. Keeping this subtle difference in mind, we use now on the same notation for the short-time propagator and the finite-time propagator by dropping the tilde from the short-time propagator.

Then we utilize the techniques in harmonic analysis to evaluate the path integral on a homogeneous space. The transformation group of the homogeneous space may be directly related to a geometrical symmetry of the system in question. Or it may be of a dynamical origin.

In order to convert a path integral defined on the homogeneous space $\mathcal{M}$ into one on the group manifold G, we restrict the short-time propagator $K(q, q'; \epsilon)$ to be symmetric under the interchange of two end points, $q \rightleftharpoons q'$, and invariant under the action of $g \in G$. Namely,

$$K(q, q'; \epsilon) = K(q', q; \epsilon) = K(gq', gq; \epsilon), \quad \text{for all} \ \ g \in G. \tag{19}$$

Then, we introduce for the fixed q_a the following function,

$$u_\epsilon(g) = K(q_a, gq_a; \epsilon). \tag{20}$$

It is obvious from the properties (19) that

$$K(q, q'; \epsilon) = u_\epsilon(g^{-1}g') = u_\epsilon(g'^{-1}g) \ \ \text{for} \ \ q = gq_a \ , \ \ q' = g'q_a. \tag{21}$$

Furthermore, it is easily to verify that

$$u_\epsilon(g) = u_\epsilon(h_1^{-1}gh_2) \ \ \text{for all} \ \ h_1, h_2 \in H. \tag{22}$$

Hence, the function $u_\epsilon(g)$ is a zonal function [40, 41].

Consequently, we can express Feynman's path integral (16) as the limit of a multi-convolution (see Appendix),

$$K(q'', q'; \tau) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{G} \mathrm{d}g_1 \cdots \int_{G} \mathrm{d}g_{N-1}\, u_\epsilon(g_0^{-1}g_1) \cdots u_\epsilon(g_{N-1}^{-1}g_N) \tag{23}$$

or

$$K(q,q_0;\tau)=\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{N}*\,u_\epsilon(g_{j-1}^{-1}g_j) \tag{24}$$

where $q_j=g_jq_a$, $j=0,\ldots,N$. Thus, the path integral in a homogeneous space is reduced to a convolution in a group manifold G.

Since the short-time propagator $u_\epsilon(g)$ is a spherical function which becomes constant on the two-sided cosets HgH, it can be expanded in terms of the zonal spherical functions $D^l_{00}(g)$. At this point, however, we make an assumption that the group G can be given as a direct product $A\otimes B$ of two unimodular groups A and B, and the isotropy group H of G at q_a is a subgroup of A. Let $a\in A$, $b\in B$ and $g=ab\in G$. Then, the zonal spherical function $D^l_{00}(g)$ of G may be decomposed in terms of the zonal spherical functions $D^l_{00}(a)$. As a result, the short-time propagator can be expressed as

$$u_\epsilon(g)=\sum_{l\in\Lambda}d_l\,\lambda_l(b;\epsilon)\,D^l_{00}(a) \tag{25}$$

where Λ stands for the set of all spherical representations (see Appendix) and the expansion coefficients are

$$\lambda_l(b;\epsilon)=\int_A \mathrm{d}a\,u_\epsilon(ab)\,D^l_{00}(a^{-1}). \tag{26}$$

Inserting the series expansion (25) into (23) and noticing that $\mathrm{d}g=\mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}b$, we calculate the convolution. Use of the orthogonality relation of the zonal spherical functions (see Appendix) immediately leads to

$$K(q'',q';\tau)=\sum_{l\in\Lambda}d_l\,K_l(b_0^{-1}b_N;\tau)\,D^l_{00}(a_0^{-1}a_N) \tag{27}$$

where

$$K_l(b_0^{-1}b_N;\tau)=\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{N}*\lambda_l(b_{j-1}^{-1}b_j;\epsilon). \tag{28}$$

The last convolution will be calculated later for a specific example.

If, in particular, the subgroup B consists of the unit element e alone, that is, if $g=a$, we have

$$K_l(e;\tau)=\lim_{N\to\infty}[\lambda_l(\epsilon)]^N. \tag{29}$$

Since the normalization condition (17) must be satisfied, we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\lambda_l(\epsilon)=1\quad\text{for all}\quad l\in\Lambda. \tag{30}$$

This limiting condition allows us to calculate the remaining limit in (29). To be more explicit,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}[\lambda_l(\tau/N)]^N=\lim_{N\to\infty}\Big[1+(\tau/N)\dot\lambda_l(0)\Big]^N=\exp\Big\{\tau\dot\lambda_l(0)\Big\} \tag{31}$$

where $\dot\lambda_l(\epsilon)=\mathrm{d}\lambda_l(\epsilon)/\mathrm{d}\epsilon$. The resulting propagator reads

$$K(q'',q';\tau)=\sum_{l\in\Lambda}d_l\,\exp\Big\{\dot\lambda_l(0)\tau\Big\}\,D^l_{00}(g_0^{-1}g_N). \tag{32}$$

In this particular case, since the Hilbert space can be decomposed as $\mathcal{H}=\bigoplus_{l\in\Lambda}\mathcal{H}^l$, we can make the spectral decomposition of the Hamiltonian,

$$H=\sum_{l\in\Lambda}\sum_m E_l\,|l,m\rangle\langle l,m| \tag{33}$$

where E_l are the eigenvalues of H and $\{|l,m\rangle\}$ is a complete orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}^l$. Therefore, we have

$$K(q'',q';\tau)=\sum_{l,m}\exp\{-(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)E_l\tau\}\langle q''|l,m\rangle\langle l,m|q'\rangle. \tag{34}$$

Comparing this with the result (32) we can identify the spectrum as well as the corresponding eigenstates of H:

$$E_l=\mathrm{i}\hbar\dot{\lambda}_l(0)\quad,\qquad \langle q|l,m\rangle=\sqrt{d_l}\;D^l_{m0}(g). \tag{35}$$

Although this result is rather obvious, it provides a simple prescription to obtain the correct Hamiltonian associated with a given semiclassical short-time propagator. This will be illustrated in the example discussed in the following section.

IV. QUANTUM MECHANICS ON A SPACE OF CONSTANT NEGATIVE CURVATURE

Recent interest in quantum mechanics of classically chaotic systems has revived the study of quantum motion on spaces of constant negative curvature. For example, the classical free motion on a two-dimensional compact space of constant negative curvature exhibits chaotic behavior [42]. Naturally, it is important to investigate the quantum aspect of such a motion.

In what follows, we shall study quantum-mechanically a particle of mass M on a d-dimensional non-compact space of constant negative curvature, which is an integrable system.

The line element of a space having curvature $K=-1/R^2$ is given by [43]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2=(1+r^2/R^2)^{-1}\mathrm{d}r^2+r^2\mathrm{d}\Omega^2. \tag{36}$$

Here, $R\,(>0)$ is the "radius" of curvature, and $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2$ represents the $(d-1)$-dimensional angular part of the line element, which is identical with that of a flat Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$. Introducing a new variable θ by setting $\sinh\theta=r/R\;\;(\theta\geq 0)$, the line element can be put into the form

$$\mathrm{d}s^2=R^2\mathrm{d}\theta^2+R^2\sinh^2\theta\mathrm{d}\Omega^2. \tag{37}$$

This geometry can be embedded in a $(d+1)$-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}=R\sinh\theta\,\mathbf{e}(\Omega),\qquad x_{d+1}=R\cosh\theta\qquad\Rightarrow\qquad \mathrm{d}s^2=\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^2-\mathrm{d}x_{d+1}^2. \tag{38}$$

The vector $\mathbf{e}(\Omega)$ is a unit vector in the Euclidean subspace $\mathbb{R}^d$ having polar coordinates Ω. Remember that Ω stands short for the $d-1$ angular coordinates. By this embedding the space $\mathcal{M}$ can be identified with the upper sheet of a time-like subspace of constant "radius" R of a pseudo-Euclidean space with metric $(+1,\ldots,+1,-1)$.

The transformation group acting transitively on $\mathcal{M}$ is $SO(d,1)$. The isotropy group about the origin $\theta=0$ is the compact subgroup $SO(d)$. This means that the space $\mathcal{M}$ may be identified with the quotient space $SO(d,1)/SO(d)$. The variables, θ and Ω, indicating the position of the particle on $\mathcal{M}$, may be identified with the group parameters. Namely, a group element of $SO(d,1)$ which transforms the origin $q_a=(0,0)$ into a point $q=(\theta,\Omega)$ by $q=gq_a$ is parameterized as $g=g(\theta,\Omega)$. The invariant Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{M}$ is related to the Haar measure of $SO(d,1)$ (as defined in Ref. [2] p. 509):

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\Omega\,\sinh\theta\,f\Big(q(\theta,\Omega)\Big)=\frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}\int_{SO(d,1)}\mathrm{d}g\,f(gq_a). \tag{39}$$

This relation is indeed compatible with (A.2) of the appendix. Note that the Haar measure is only unique up to a multiple constant.

Having set up the geometry of the configuration space, we have to construct the short-time propagator for the particle in motion. The Lagrangian for the classical free motion in the above geometry is given by

$$L=\frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2. \tag{40}$$

As Feynman realized already in his 1948 paper, we must recognize the special role of cartesian coordinates. In the present problem, there is no cartesian coordinate system. The coordinates closest to the cartesian ones are those given

in (37). In analogy with the Ozaki-Edward-Gulyaev prescription in formulating the polar coordinate path integral, we choose [10]:

$$S_\epsilon(q,q') = \frac{MR^2}{\epsilon}\,(\cosh\Theta - 1) + \frac{\hbar^2\epsilon}{8MR^2} \tag{41}$$

where Θ is the angle of the hyperbolic rotation $g = g(\Theta)$ transforming q' into q, $q = gq'$. When analytical continuation in Θ is made, this short-time action goes over to the counterpart for the compact group $SO(d+1)$. There is ambiguity in the choice of the additive constant. However, this is a common problem for both the compact and non-compact cases. For a free motion, there is no decisive criterion, physical or mathematical, for the selection of the constant term. With the short-time action chosen above, we have the short-time propagator,

$$K(q,q';\epsilon) = \left(\frac{MR^2}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon}\right)^{d/2} \exp\{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)S_\epsilon(q,q')\}. \tag{42}$$

The prefactor has been chosen in order to comply with the normalization condition (17).

In passing from the path integral on the homogeneous space $\mathcal{M}$ to the one on the group manifold $G = SO(d,1)$, we have to bear the relation (39) in mind. Then, we apply the Fourier transformation to the short-time propagator. Since there are no bound states for the free motion, the energy spectrum expected are continuous. Therefore, we use the irreducible unitary representations of $SO(d,1)$ belonging to the fundamental series characterized by a complex label $l = l(\rho) = -(d-1)/2 + \mathrm{i}\rho$, $(\rho \geq 0)$. The integral decomposition of the short-time propagator is

$$\frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} K(q,q';\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\rho\, d_l\, \lambda_l(\epsilon)\, D^l_{00}(g'^{-1}g). \tag{43}$$

In this representation, the zonal functions are explicitly given by Gegenbauer functions [2]

$$D^l_{00}(g) = \frac{\Gamma(d-1)\Gamma(l+1)}{\Gamma(l+d-1)}\, C_l^{(d-1)/2}(\cosh\Theta) \tag{44}$$

and are related to those of $SO(d+1)$ by analytical continuation in Θ and l. The constant d_l is given by [7]

$$d_l = 2\,\frac{|\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} + \mathrm{i}\rho)|^2}{\Gamma(d)|\Gamma(\mathrm{i}\rho)|^2}. \tag{45}$$

The Fourier coefficients calculated with (26) take the form [7],

$$\lambda_l(\epsilon) = \left(\frac{2MR^2}{\pi\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon}{8MR^2}\right\}\, K_{\mathrm{i}\rho}(MR^2/\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon) \tag{46}$$

where $K_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind. Use of the limiting relation,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\sqrt{\frac{2Nz}{\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{1/(8Nz)}\mathrm{e}^{Nz}K_{\mathrm{i}\rho}(Nz)\right]^N = \exp\{-\rho^2/2z\} \tag{47}$$

which is obtained from the asymptotic form of the Bessel function

$$K_{\mathrm{i}\rho}(Nz) \sim \sqrt{\pi Nz/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-Nz}\left(1 - \frac{\rho^2+1/4}{2Nz}\right), \quad N\to\infty,$$

enables us to put the propagator into the form [7]

$$K(q'',q';t) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\rho\, d_l\, \exp\left\{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\,\frac{\hbar^2\rho^2}{2MR^2}\,t\right\} D^l_{00}(g_0^{-1}g_N). \tag{48}$$

Obviously the spectrum of the Hamiltonian associated with (48) is given by $E_\rho = \hbar^2\rho^2/2MR^2$ $(\rho \geq 0)$. This may be compared with the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on $\mathcal{M}$ [2] which has the spectrum $l(l+d-1)$. The Hamiltonian which corresponds to our choice (41) of the short-time action is

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\,\Delta + \frac{(d-1)^2}{8MR^2}\,\hbar^2. \tag{49}$$

There have also been other suggestions for short-time actions in the literature [7, 44]. However, the present choice (41) has the consequence that for its corresponding Hamilton operator (49) Huygens' principle is valid [45].

Although the propagator cannot be given in a simple form, its Laplace transform, the energy-dependent Green function, can also be given in closed form [46]. The propagator (48) can be written, if d is odd, in the form [10]

$$K(q'',q';\tau) = R\left(\frac{M}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\hbar\tau}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi\sinh\Theta}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\right)^{(d-1)/2}\exp\{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)S_{cl}(\Theta,\tau)\} \tag{50}$$

where $S_{cl}(\Theta,\tau) = (MR^2\Theta^2/2\tau)$ is the classical action and Θ is the hyperbolic angle between the two positions q' and q''. For d even, it takes a similar but different form,

$$\begin{aligned} K(q'',q';\tau) = {} & \sqrt{2}R^2\left(\frac{M}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\hbar\tau}\right)^{3/2}\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi\sinh\Theta}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\right)^{(d-1)/2} \\ & \times\int_{\Theta}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}z\,\frac{z\exp\{(\mathrm{i}MR^2/\hbar 2\tau)z^2\}}{\sqrt{\cosh z-\cosh\Theta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

V. THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

The harmonic oscillator is so common that it can hardly be an attractive object. Historically, it has been the object that Feynman's path integral can handle. As is mentioned earlier, the hydrogen atom is too difficult to path-integrate. However, thanks to several techniques developed in the past ten years, it has become possible to reduce many non-quadratic path integrals including the one for the hydrogen atom into the path integral for the harmonic oscillator. By the harmonic oscillator, here, we don't mean a linear harmonic oscillator. We mean an isotropic harmonic oscillator in higher dimensions. A path integral of the confluent hypergeometric class is reducible to the path integral for the radial harmonic oscillator in an inverse-square potential. Performing path integration in polar coordinates even for the harmonic oscillator is not trivial. In fact, the path integration in polar coordinates was done already in 1967 by brute force [13]. It was only after the work of Böhm and Junker [7] when the study of the link between the radial propagator and the matrix element of the $SU(1,1)$ began. Because of the spherical symmetry, it is expected that the propagator is decomposable in terms of the spherical functions. However, it is somewhat surprising that there is a beautiful underlying structure in the radial path integral that is connected to the non-compact group $SU(1,1)$.

A. The Dynamical Group

First we examine the group structure of an isotropic harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^d$, having mass M and spring constant $M\omega^2$. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2M}\,\mathbf{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2\mathbf{x}^2. \tag{52}$$

The dynamical group of the three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator has been identified with the symplectic group $Sp(6)$ by Moshinski and Quesne [47]. A subgroup $Sp(2)\otimes SO(3)$ of $Sp(6)$ has been chosen as the basis for the separation in spherical polar coordinates. The group $SO(3)$ is associated with the rotational symmetry of the oscillator, and the symplectic group $Sp(2)$, which is isomorphic with $SU(1,1)$, is the spectrum-generating group. Generalizing this to the d-dimensional case, we use the group $SU(1,1)\otimes SO(d)$ to separate the system in polar coordinates.

Let us realize the algebra of $SU(1,1)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= -\frac{1}{4M\hbar\omega}\left(\mathbf{p}^2 - M^2\omega^2\mathbf{x}^2\right), \qquad J_2 = -\frac{1}{4\hbar}\left(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}\right), \\ J_3 &= \frac{1}{4M\hbar\omega}\left(\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2\omega^2\mathbf{x}^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Namely, these operators satisfy

$$[J_1,J_2] = -\mathrm{i}J_3, \quad [J_2,J_3] = \mathrm{i}J_1, \quad [J_3,J_1] = \mathrm{i}J_2. \tag{54}$$

The Casimir operator is $\mathbf{J}^2 = -J_1^2 - J_2^2 + J_3^2$. On the standard orthonormal basis $|J,\mu\rangle$,

$$\mathbf{J}^2|J,\mu\rangle = J(J+1)|J,\mu\rangle \quad , \quad J_3|J,\mu\rangle = \mu|J,\mu\rangle. \tag{55}$$

The unitary irreducible representations of $SU(1,1)$ are labeled by the number J. There are two discrete series denoted by D_J^+ and D_J^- and two continuous series denoted by C_J and E_J [48].

In the present realization, the Hamiltonian is proportional to J_3 as

$$H = 2\hbar\omega J_3. \tag{56}$$

Since J_3 is positive-definite by construction, the eigenvalues of J_3 must be positive. Therefore, the set of the operators (53) provides a realization of the representation D_J^+ of $SU(1,1)$ for which $\mu = -J + n \ \ (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ [31].

It can be verified that the Casimir operator $\mathbf{J}^2$ calculated with (53) is related to the Casimir invariant $\mathbf{L}^2$ of $SO(d)$ as

$$\mathbf{J}^2 = \frac{1}{4\hbar^2}\mathbf{L}^2 + \frac{1}{16}\,d(d-4). \tag{57}$$

Here $\mathbf{L}$ is the angular momentum in $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose components are $L_{ik} = x_i p_k - x_k p_i \ (i,k = 1,2,\ldots,d)$. The Casimir invariant of $SO(d)$,

$$\mathbf{L}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,k=1}^{d} L_{ik}L_{ik}, \tag{58}$$

has the spectrum $\hbar^2 l(l+d-2)$ $(l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. Therefore, we have

$$J(J+1) = \frac{1}{4}l(l+d-2) + \frac{1}{16}d(d-4). \tag{59}$$

Thus, the subspace of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a fixed angular momentum l carries also the irreducible representation space of $D^+_{J(l)}$ with

$$J(l) = -\frac{1}{2}l - \frac{1}{4}d. \tag{60}$$

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ may be decomposed into an orthogonal sum of subspaces $\mathcal{H}^l$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Each subspace is a product of the $SU(1,1)$ representation D_J^+ and the $SO(d)$ representation denoted by D^l. Namely,

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2(S^{d-1}) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(D^+_{J(l)} \otimes D^l\right). \tag{61}$$

The basis in $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given as a tensor product of the discrete basis $\{|J(l),\mu\rangle\}$ in $D^+_{J(l)}$ and the basis $\{|l,m\rangle\}$ in D^l, that is,

$$|\mu,l,m\rangle = |J(l),\mu\rangle \otimes |l,m\rangle. \tag{62}$$

Obviously, these are the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (56):

$$H|\mu,l,m\rangle = (2\hbar\omega J_3 \otimes \mathbf{1})\ |\mu,l,m\rangle = 2\hbar\omega\mu|\mu,l,m\rangle. \tag{63}$$

Using $\mu = -J(l) + \nu = \nu + l/2 + d/4 = n/2 + d/4$, we obtain the expected result,

$$E_n = \hbar\omega(n + d/2) \quad , \quad n = 2\nu + l \in \mathbb{N}_0\ . \tag{64}$$

Here we have to note that the evolution operator $\exp\{-(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)H\tau\}$ which is now identified with $\exp\{-2\mathrm{i}\omega\tau J_3\}$ is an element of $SU(1,1)$. The third generator J_3 of $SU(1,1)$ acts as the generator of the one-parameter group of time evolution. The group $SU(1,1)$ is literally the dynamical group of the harmonic oscillator.

The orthonormal set $\{|J,\mu\rangle\}$ used above forms a discrete basis, which diagonalizes the compact operator J_3. Although the discrete basis is widely used, the use of a continuous basis which diagonalizes a non-compact operator

is often overlooked. There have been extensive studies of continuous bases [32–34]. For instance, $K_+ = J_1 + J_3$ is a non-compact operator. The basis which makes K_+ diagonal is a continuous basis:

$$K_+|J,\eta\rangle = \eta|J,\eta\rangle \tag{65}$$

where the eigenvalue η is a continuous variable. In the present realization, it happens to be

$$K_+ = \frac{M\omega}{2\hbar}\mathbf{x}^2. \tag{66}$$

Obviously, the eigenvalue of K_+ is

$$\eta = \alpha r^2 \tag{67}$$

where $\alpha = M\omega/(2\hbar)$ and $r = |\mathbf{x}|$. The corresponding eigenstates in $\mathcal{H}$ are given by

$$|\eta, l, m\rangle = |J(l), \eta\rangle \otimes |l, m\rangle. \tag{68}$$

In parallel to Wigner's d-function, $d^l_{mn}(\theta) = \langle l, m|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta J_2}|l, m\rangle$ defined for the compact group $SU(2)$, Bargmann defined the functions, $b^l_{mn}(\theta) = \langle l, m|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta J_2}|l, m\rangle$ on the discrete basis of $SU(1,1)$. In the case of $SU(2)$, all the group generators are compact, whereas J_3 of $SU(1,1)$ is the only compact operator and J_2 is non-compact. The function defined by Bargmann is a matrix element of a non-compact member of the $SU(1,1)$ group on the discrete basis. It is certainly interesting to define a function which is a matrix element of a member of the maximal compact subgroup of $SU(1,1)$ on the continuous basis that diagonalizes K_+. Namely,

$$v^J_{\eta\eta'}(\theta) = \langle J, \eta| \exp\{-\mathrm{i}\theta J_3\}|J, \eta'\rangle \tag{69}$$

where $0 < \theta < 2\pi$. In the unitary irreducible representation of the discrete series D^+_J, it has been shown by Lindblad and Nagel that the v-function takes the following explicit form [15, 33],

$$v^J_{\eta\eta'}(2\varphi) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\sin\varphi}\, \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}(\eta+\eta')\cot\varphi\right\}\, \mathrm{I}_{-2J-1}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\eta\eta'}}{\mathrm{i}\sin\varphi}\right), \tag{70}$$

where $\mathrm{I}_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind and $0 < \varphi < \pi$. This function turns out to be the core function of the radial propagator of the harmonic oscillator.

B. The propagator

According to the relation (56), the propagator as a matrix element of the evolution operator can be put in the form,

$$K(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; \tau) = \langle \mathbf{x}'' \mid \exp\{-2\mathrm{i}\omega\tau J_3\} \mid \mathbf{x}'\rangle. \tag{71}$$

Using the basis (68) which diagonalizes the non-compact operator K_+, we can express (71) as

$$K(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; \tau) = \sum_{l,m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{d}\eta'' \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{d}\eta'\, \langle \mathbf{x}''|\eta'', l, m\rangle\langle J(l), \eta''|\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\omega\tau J_3}|J(l), \eta'\rangle\langle\eta', l, m|\mathbf{x}'\rangle. \tag{72}$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = r\mathbf{u}$ where $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}/r$, and let also $\mathbf{u} = h\mathbf{u}_a$ where $h \in SO(d)$ and $\mathbf{u}_a$ is a fixed point on S^{d-1}. Then we can write the position states as $|\mathbf{x}'\rangle = |r', h'\mathbf{u}_a\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{x}''\rangle = |r'', h''\mathbf{u}_a\rangle$, where $h', h'' \in SO(d)$. The propagator (72) can now be expressed in the form,

$$K(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; \tau) = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} K_l(r'', r'; \tau)\, d_l\, D^l_{00}(h''^{-1}h') \tag{73}$$

with

$$K_l(r'', r'; \tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{d}\eta'' \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{d}\eta'\, \langle r'' \mid \eta''\rangle\langle J(l), \eta'' \mid \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\omega\tau J_3} \mid J(l), \eta'\rangle\langle\eta' \mid r'\rangle. \tag{74}$$

The dimension of the representation D^l is given by

$$d_l = (2l+d-2)\,\frac{(l+d-3)!}{l!\,(d-2)!}, \tag{75}$$

and the zonal spherical functions are expressed in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials

$$D^l_{00}(h''^{-1}h') = \frac{l!\,\Gamma(d-2)}{\Gamma(d+l-2)}\,C_l^{(d-2)/2}(\mathbf{u}''\cdot\mathbf{u}'). \tag{76}$$

Note that the area of $S^{d-1} = SO(d)/SO(d-1)$ is $2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$.

Since $\mathrm{d}\eta = 2\alpha r\,\mathrm{d}r$, and since the completeness relations of the sets, $\{|r\rangle\}$ and $\{|J(l),\eta\rangle\}$, are given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{d}r\, r^{d-1}\,|r\rangle\langle r| = 1, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{d}\eta\,|J(l),\eta\rangle\langle J(l),\eta| = 1.$$

we have

$$\langle r \mid J(l),\eta\rangle = \sqrt{2\alpha}r^{-(d-2)/2}\,\delta(\eta-\alpha r^2), \qquad \alpha = M\omega/(2\hbar). \tag{77}$$

Making use of this relation in (74), we can immediately obtain the radial propagator in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} K_l(r'',r';\tau) &= \frac{M\omega}{\hbar}(r'r'')^{-(d-2)/2}\,\langle J(l),\eta''|\exp\{-2\mathrm{i}\omega\tau J_3\}|J(l),\eta'\rangle \\ &= \frac{M\omega}{\hbar}(r'r'')^{-(d-2)/2}\,v^{J(l)}_{\eta''\eta'}(2\omega\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where $\eta' = (M\omega/2\hbar)r'^2$ and $\eta'' = (M\omega/2\hbar)r''^2$.

C. Path Integration for the Propagator

Next, let us make an explicit calculation of Feynman's path integral for the oscillator:

$$K(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}';\tau) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_j\prod_{j=1}^{N}K(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1};\epsilon) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{N}{}*K(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1};\epsilon). \tag{79}$$

The Lagrangian corresponding to the Hamiltonian (52) is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}M\dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2\,\mathbf{x}^2. \tag{80}$$

The short-time propagator of the harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is given by

$$K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}';\epsilon) = \left(\frac{M}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon}\right)^{d/2}\exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\left[\frac{M}{2\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^2 - \frac{M}{4}\omega^2\epsilon(\mathbf{x}^2+\mathbf{x}'^2)\right]\right\}. \tag{81}$$

The prefactor of the exponential function is chosen so as to meet the normalization condition (3). Since the kinematic factor $\exp[(\mathrm{i}M/2\hbar\epsilon)(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^2]$ is invariant under the group of motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$, it can be expanded in terms of the zonal spherical functions of the rotation group $SO(d)$ or the zonal spherical functions of the Euclidean group $E(d)$. If we put it into the form,

$$\exp\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}M}{2\hbar\epsilon}\,(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^2\right] = \exp\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}M}{2\hbar\epsilon}\,(r^2+r'^2)\right]\,\exp\left[-\frac{\mathrm{i}M}{2\hbar\epsilon}\,\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}'\right], \tag{82}$$

the second factor is a zonal function with respect to $SO(d)$. The expansion of the second factor in terms of the zonal spherical function $D^l_{00}(h)$ of $SO(d)$ turns out to be the well-known Gegenbauer expansion,

$$\exp(z\,\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}') = (2/z)^\lambda\Gamma(\lambda)\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(l+\lambda)\,\mathrm{I}_{l+\lambda}(z)\,C_l^\lambda(\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}'),$$

with $z = (M/2\mathrm{i}\hbar\epsilon)$ and $\lambda = (d-2)/2$. Consequently, the short-time propagator can be expanded as

$$K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}';\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} K_l(r,r';\epsilon)\, d_l\, D^l_{00}(h^{-1}h') \tag{83}$$

with the short-time radial propagator,

$$\begin{aligned} K_l(r,r';\epsilon) = \; & -2\mathrm{i}(\alpha/\epsilon)\,(rr')^{-(d-2)/2} \exp\left[\mathrm{i}(\alpha/\omega\epsilon)\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\omega^2\epsilon^2\right)(r^2+r'^2)\right] \\ & \times \mathrm{I}_{l+(d-2)/2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{i}\omega\epsilon} rr'\right). \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

For convenience, let us define the following function,

$$v_\lambda(\eta,\eta';\varphi) = -\mathrm{i}\csc\,\varphi\, \exp[\mathrm{i}(\eta+\eta')\cot\varphi]\, \mathrm{I}_\lambda\left(-2\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\eta\eta'}\,\csc\,\varphi\right). \tag{85}$$

If we use Weber's integral formula,

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}r\, r\, \exp(\mathrm{i}\beta r^2)\, \mathrm{I}_\lambda(-\mathrm{i}ar)\, \mathrm{I}_\lambda(-\mathrm{i}br) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\beta} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\beta}(a^2+b^2)\right]\, \mathrm{I}_\lambda\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{ab}{2\beta}\right), \tag{86}$$

valid for Re $\beta > 0$ and Re $\lambda > -1$, then we can verify the recurrence convolution,

$$v_\lambda * v_\lambda(\eta'',\eta';\varphi''+\varphi) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\eta\, v_\lambda(\eta'',\eta;\varphi'')\, v_\lambda(\eta,\eta';\varphi) = v_\lambda(\eta'',\eta';\varphi''+\varphi). \tag{87}$$

This convolution formula can be extended to the multi-convolution formula,

$$v_\lambda(\eta_N,\eta_0;\varphi) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} * v_\lambda(\eta_j,\eta_{j-1};\varphi_j), \tag{88}$$

where

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j. \tag{89}$$

Now we can utilize this convolution formula to calculate the path integral as the multi-convolution of the short-time propagator. The multi-convolution of the N short-time propagators is given by

$$K(\mathbf{x}_N,\mathbf{x}_0;N\epsilon) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} * K(\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{x}_{j-1};\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} K_l(r_N,r_0;N\epsilon)\, d_l\, D^l_{00}(h_N^{-1}h_0), \tag{90}$$

with

$$K_l(r_N,r_0;N\epsilon) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} * K_l(r_j,r_{j-1}). \tag{91}$$

If we let

$$\sin\varphi_j = \omega\epsilon, \qquad \cos\varphi_j = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4), \tag{92}$$

the short-time radial function may be approximated as

$$K_l(r,r';\epsilon) = 2\alpha(rr')^{-(d-2)/2}\, v_{l+(d-2)/2}(\alpha r^2, \alpha r'^2;\varphi_j). \tag{93}$$

Therefore, the multi-convolution formula of the v-function helps to evaluate the multi-convolution of the radial propagator. In the limit $N \to \infty$ (i.e., $\epsilon \to 0$),

$$\varphi = \lim_{N\to\infty} N \arcsin(\omega\epsilon) = \omega\tau.$$

As a result, we obtain the radial propagator for a finite time interval τ,

$$K_l(r'', r'; \tau) = 2\alpha (r''r')^{-(d-2)/2}\, v_{l+(d-2)/2}(\alpha r''^2, \alpha r'^2; \omega\tau), \tag{94}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} K_l(r'', r'; \tau) = \; & -2\mathrm{i}\alpha (r''r')^{-(d-2)/2}\, \csc(\omega\tau) \exp\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}M\omega}{2\hbar}(r'^2 + r''^2)\cot(\omega\tau)\right] \\ & \times \mathrm{I}_{l+(d-2)/2}\left(\frac{M\omega}{\mathrm{i}\hbar} r'r'' \csc(\omega\tau)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Comparison of (78) and (95) leads us to the relation,

$$\langle J, \eta | \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\varphi J_3} | J, \eta' \rangle = -\mathrm{i} \csc\, \varphi\, \exp[\mathrm{i}(\eta + \eta')\cot\varphi]\, \mathrm{I}_{-2J-1}\left(-2\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\eta\eta'}\, \csc\, \varphi\right) \tag{96}$$

which coincides with the result (70) obtained by Lindblad and Nagel [33]. In this way, we can also determine the matrix element on the continuous basis by path integration.

Shapiro and Vilenkin [49] expressed Weber's integral formula (86) in terms of the matrix element in question. Here, conversely, we have used Weber's formula to determine the matrix element.

VI. EPILOGUE

Fourier analysis is well-known as a tool for finding the heat kernel. Then it is not surprising that harmonic analysis works well in calculating various kernels of the Schödinger equation. The path integral itself is known as a tool of finding the Schrödinger kernel or the Feynman kernel or the propagator in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. What is surprising is that path integrals and harmonic analysis can mix very well. In the preceding sections, we have demonstrated how the techniques of harmonic analysis can be utilized in path integration in nontrivial ways.

We have picked up only two examples, but the same or similar techniques used in those examples have been applied to various other problems. As generalized Fourier expansions may be applied to (*i*) functions on the homogeneous space $\mathcal{M} = G/H$, (*ii*) zonal functions defined on spheres $S = HgH$, and (*iii*) central functions on the group manifold G, so can the propagators be treated differently, depending on their structure. In the first example, we have expanded the propagator in terms of zonal functions. However, in dealing with a particle on a three-dimensional sphere, we may apply the character expansion. This is because S^3 can be identified with the group manifold of $SU(2)$. In this case, the group $SU(2)$ is directly related to the rotational symmetry of the background space. The one-dimensional Pöschl-Teller oscillator, for instance, has no spherical symmetry, but can be solved on the dynamical group manifold $SU(2)$. Even though the physical natures of the group $SU(2)$ for these two cases are different, the structures of the two path integrals are basically the same. Both belong to the hypergeometric class. Path integrals of the hypergeometric class solved by harmonic analysis include the free motion on a space of constant positive curvature $S^d = SO(d+1)/SO(d)$, the free motion on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ by means of the zonal function of the Euclidean group, and the rotations about the origin $\mathbb{R}^d = E^d/SO(d)$. For details, see refs. [10, 14, and 50].

For the second example, we have used the matrix elements on the continuous basis. The radial path integral belongs to the confluent hypergeometric class. A number of problems have also been solved with the help of the radial path integral of this type. For a review of the path integrals of $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$, we refer to ref. [15].

In concluding, we may say that these group theoretical methods together with other techniques (see also [15]) have made Feynman's path integral as accessible as Schrödinger's equation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Raj Wilson for his invitation to this stimulating conference from which we greatly benefited.

APPENDIX: RUDIMENTS OF HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

This Appendix reviews the rudiments of harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces in a way pertinent to path integral calculus. For details, see refs. [2] and [51].

Transformation Group and Homogeneous Space:

First, we consider a transformation group G of a space $\mathcal{M}$. A transformation g of $\mathcal{M}$ is a one-to-one map of $\mathcal{M}$ onto itself. If q is a point of $\mathcal{M}$, then the transform of q by g, denoted by $q' = gq$, also belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. A set G of such transformations is a transformation group of $\mathcal{M}$ if the inverse g^{-1} transforms q' back into q by $q = g^{-1}q'$ and if $(g_1 g_2)q = g_1(g_2 q)$ for any two transformations, g_1 and g_2, of G. We assume that G acts transitively on $\mathcal{M}$. In other words, for every pair (q', q) of $\mathcal{M}$, there is a transformation $g \in G$ such that $q' = gq$. We also assume that G is effective on $\mathcal{M}$, that is, for every group element g not equal to the identity element there exists a $q \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $gq \neq q$. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is a homogeneous space with respect to the transitive group G. A subgroup H of G whose action leaves a point q_a of $\mathcal{M}$ fixed is called the *isotropy group* of G at q_a. Since any point q of $\mathcal{M}$ can be reached from the fixed point q_a by a group action $g \in G$, we have $q = gq_a = ghq_a$ where $h \in H$. Thus, there is one-to-one correspondence between the homogeneous space $\mathcal{M}$ and the coset space G/H. Hence $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with G/H, that is, $\mathcal{M} = G/H$.

Suppose a fixed point q of $\mathcal{M}$ is taken to a point $q' = hq$ of $\mathcal{M}$ under the action h of the isotropy group H at q_a. The set $S = \{q' \in hq;\, h \in H\}$ is called *the sphere* centered at q_a, passing through q. Since q corresponds to the left coset gH, the sphere S can be viewed as the two-sided coset HgH with g fixed.

Next, we assume that the transformation group G is locally compact and unimodular. Then, G has a unique (up to a multiple constant) invariant Haar measure for all integrable functions $f:\ G \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, denoted by $\mathrm{d}g$:

$$\int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(g) = \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(g'g) = \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(gg') = \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(g^{-1}) \tag{A.1}$$

where $g' \in G$. The Haar measure $\mathrm{d}g$ induces a G-invariant measure $\mathrm{d}q$ on $\mathcal{M}$ [51]:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}q\, f(q) = \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(gq_a) \tag{A.2}$$

where q_a is a fixed point in $\mathcal{M}$ and $f:\ \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ is an integrable function on $\mathcal{M}$. The G-invariance of the measure on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}q\, f(gq) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}q\, f(q), \tag{A.3}$$

is a direct consequence of the invariance of the Haar measure.

Spherical Representations:

Let us denote by D^l a unitary irreducible representation of the group G in an invariant subspace $\mathcal{H}^l \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{M})$. The representation $D^l(g)$ is called a *spherical* representation (or a representation of *class 1*) of G if $\mathcal{H}^l$ has non-null vectors $|\psi_\alpha\rangle$ which are invariant under transformations of the subgroup H [2], $D^l(h)|\psi_\alpha\rangle = |\psi_\alpha\rangle$ for all $h \in H$. Let the subgroup H be *massive* [2], that is, let there be only one such vector $|\psi_0\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}^l$. Then a function $\psi^l(g)$ defined on G by

$$\psi^l(g) = \langle \psi \mid D^l(g) \mid \psi_0 \rangle \tag{A.4}$$

is called a *spherical function* of $D^l(g)$. It is obvious that $\psi^l(gh) = \psi^l(g)$. Thus, a spherical function $\psi^l(g)$ of $D^l(g)$ is constant on a left coset gH. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with the space of the left cosets gH, $\psi^l(g)$ can be regarded as a function on the homogeneous space $\mathcal{M} = G/H$.

Let $\{|e_m\rangle\}$ with $\langle e_m \mid e_n \rangle = \delta_{mn}$ $(m, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, d_l - 1)$ be an orthonormal basis with $|e_0\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle$ in the d_l-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}^l$. The matrix elements of $D^l(g)$ for $g \in G$ on this basis are given by

$$D^l_{mn}(g) = \langle e_m \mid D^l(g) \mid e_n \rangle. \tag{A.5}$$

The unitary property implies $D^{l\,*}_{nm}(g) = D^l_{mn}(g^{-1})$. It is also easy to show that

$$D^l_{mn}(g_1 g_2) = \sum_k D^l_{mk}(g_1) D^l_{kn}(g_2). \tag{A.6}$$

Certainly the matrix elements

$$D^l_{m0}(g) = \langle e_m \mid D^l(g) \mid e_0 \rangle \tag{A.7}$$

are spherical functions of $D^l(g)$ on G, which are more specifically called *associated spherical functions*. They satisfy $D^l_{m0}(gh) = D^l_{m0}(g)$ for any $h \in H$. A special case of associated spherical functions is

$$D^l_{00}(g) = \langle e_0 \mid D^l(g) \mid e_0 \rangle, \tag{A.8}$$

which is called a *zonal spherical function*. Naturally, the zonal spherical function is constant on the two-sided coset HgH, that is, for any $h, h' \in H$,

$$D^l_{00}(h^{-1} g h') = D^l_{00}(g). \tag{A.9}$$

For instance, if $G = SO(3)$ and if $H = SO(2)$ about the north pole $q_a = (0, 0, 1)$ of $\mathcal{M} = S^2$, then the well-known spherical harmonics $Y^m_l(\theta, \phi)$ are associated spherical functions of irreducible unitary representations of $SO(3)$, and the Legendre polynomials $P_l(\cos\theta)$ are the zonal spherical functions. The latter has a constant value along a circle (i.e., $\theta =$ constant) on S^2.

Let us add a few more examples. The zonal spherical functions on an d-dimensional Euclidean space E^d, the unit sphere $S^{d-1} = SO(d)/SO(d-1)$ in d dimensions, and the $(d-1)$-dimensional Lobačevskiĭ space are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^k_{00}(r) = 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)\,(kr)^{-\nu}\, J_\nu(kr), \qquad (0 < k, r < \infty),\\
&D^\ell_{00}(\theta) = \tfrac{\Gamma(\ell+1)\Gamma(2\nu)}{\Gamma(\ell+2\nu)} C^\nu_\ell(\cos\theta), \qquad (\ell = 0, 1, 2, ...;\ 0 \le \theta \le \pi),\\
&D^\rho_{00}(t) = 2^{\nu-1/2}\Gamma(\nu+\tfrac{1}{2})(\sinh t)^{-\nu+1/2}\, P^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\rho}(\cosh t), \qquad (0 < \rho, t < \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

where $2\nu = d - 2$. In the above, $J_\nu(z), C^\nu_l(z)$, and $P^\nu_\mu(z)$ are the Bessel function, the Gegenbauer polynomials, and the associated Legendre function, respectively.

The character $\chi^l(g)$ of a finite dimensional irreducible unitary representation D^l is defined by

$$\chi^l(g) = \mathrm{Tr} D^l(g) = \sum_m D^l_{mm}(g). \tag{A.10}$$

In particular, $\chi^l(e) = d_l = \dim \mathcal{H}^l$ where e is the unit element of G. For an infinite dimensional representation, the character cannot be defined in the way it is defined for the finite dimensional case. The character of an infinite dimensional representation is defined as a distribution.

Fourier Expansions:

In the case of compact groups, the harmonic analysis in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(G)$ is based on the Peter-Weyl theorem. The theorem tells us that the matrix elements, $\sqrt{d_l}\, D^l_{mn}(g)$, form a complete orthonormal set on $\mathcal{H}$ in regard to a normalized invariant Haar measure $\mathrm{d}g$, satisfying the orthogonality relation,

$$\int_G \mathrm{d}g\, D^l_{mn}(g)\, D^{l'\,*}_{m'n'}(g) = d_l^{-1}\, \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}. \tag{A.11}$$

An arbitrary function $f(g) \in \mathcal{H}$ may be expanded in the form,

$$f(g) = \sum_{l,m,n} d_l\, \hat{f}^l_{mn}\, D^l_{mn}(g), \tag{A.12}$$

where

$$\hat{f}^l_{mn} = \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(g)\, D^{l\,*}_{mn}(g). \tag{A.13}$$

For a non-compact group G, the spectra of some invariant operators of G are continuous, and the eigenstates should be understood as distributions. Therefore, we have to deal with the so-called Gel'fand triplet $\Phi \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \Phi'$. Here, Φ is a certain nuclear space of smooth functions in $\mathcal{H}$, and Φ' is the dual space of Φ. The orthogonality relation (A.11), which holds only in special cases, should be broadly interpreted. For instance, the Kronecker delta $\delta_{ll'}$ may be replaced by the delta function $\delta(l-l')$, and the "dimension" d_l may be defined by the relation (A.11) itself. The Fourier expansion formula (A.12) may be expressed in the form,

$$f(g) = \sum_{l\in\Lambda} d_l \,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\hat{f}^l D^l(g)\Big), \tag{A.14}$$

where Λ is the set of all inequivalent unitary irreducible representations to which D^l belongs. The summation in the above expression must be replaced by an appropriate Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral when l is continuous.

The zonal spherical functions (A.9) satisfy the relations,

$$\int_G \mathrm{d}g\, D^l_{00}(g) D^{l'}_{00}(g^{-1}) = \frac{1}{d_l}\,\delta_{ll'} \tag{A.15}$$

and

$$\int_G \mathrm{d}g_j\, D^l_{00}(g_{j-1}^{-1} g_j) D^{l'}_{00}(g_j^{-1} g_{j+1}) = \frac{1}{d_l}\,\delta_{ll'} D^l_{00}(g_{j-1}^{-1} g_{j+1}), \tag{A.16}$$

both of which readily follow from the orthogonality relation (A.11). Any function $f(g)$ constant on two-sided cosets HgH, that is, satisfying $f(h^{-1}gh) = f(g)$ for $h \in H$ and $g \in G$, can be expanded in terms of the zonal spherical function $D^l_{00}(g)$ as

$$f(g) = \sum_{l\in\Lambda} d_l\, \hat{f}^l\, D^l_{00}(g), \tag{A.17}$$

where the "Fourier" coefficients are given by

$$\hat{f}^l = \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(g)\, D^l_{00}(g^{-1}). \tag{A.18}$$

It is evident from the orthogonality relation (A.11) that the character function (A.10) satisfies

$$\int_G \mathrm{d}g\, \chi^{l\,*}(g)\, \chi^{l'}(g) = \delta_{ll'}, \tag{A.19}$$

and

$$\int_G \mathrm{d}g_2\, \chi^l(g_3 g_2^{-1}) \chi^{l'}(g_2 g_1^{-1}) = d_l^{-1}\, \delta_{ll'}\, \chi^l(g_3 g_1^{-1}). \tag{A.20}$$

A function $f(g)$ on G, satisfying $f(g_1^{-1} g_2 g_1) = f(g_2)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$, is called a *central function*. Evidently, the character $\chi^l(g)$ is a central function on G. Any central function on G can be decomposed by means of the character function:

$$f(g) = \sum_{l\in\Lambda} d_l\, \hat{f}^l\, \chi^l(g), \tag{A.21}$$

with

$$\hat{f}^l = d_l^{-1} \int_G \mathrm{d}g\, f(g)\, \chi^l(g^{-1}). \tag{A.22}$$

For a compact group, the presence of the dimensional constant d_l in the series expansion seems redundant. However, if G is non-compact, and if l takes a continuous value, then the replacement of the sum by an integral necessarily brings the factor d_l as part of the Jacobian. Therefore, for a unified treatment of the discrete and continuous cases, it is convenient to keep d_l explicitly in (A.21) and (A.22).

Convolutions:

The convolution $f_1 * f_2(g)$ of two integrable functions $f_1(g)$ and $f_2(g)$ on a locally compact unimodular group G is defined by

$$f_1 * f_2(g) = \int_G \mathrm{d}g_1 \, f_1(g g_1^{-1}) f_2(g_1), \tag{A.23}$$

which is also integrable on G. The operation of convolution is associative;

$$f_1 * (f_2 * f_3) = (f_1 * f_2) * f_3. \tag{A.24}$$

It is sometime convenient to use the following short hand notation for a multi-convolution of N functions,

$$(\cdots((f_1 * f_2) * f_3) * \cdots) * f_N = \prod_{j=1}^{N} * f_j. \tag{A.25}$$

It is noteworthy that if two square integrable functions $f_1(g)$ and $f_2(g)$ are expanded as

$$f_1(g) = \sum_l d_l \sum_{m,n} a^l_{mn} \, D^l_{mn}(g), \tag{A.26}$$

$$f_2(g) = \sum_l d_l \sum_{m,n} b^l_{mn} \, D^l_{mn}(g), \tag{A.27}$$

then the Fourier transform c^l_{mn} of their convolution $f_1 * f_2(g)$ is given by

$$c^l_{mn} = \sum_k a^l_{mk} b^l_{kn}. \tag{A.28}$$

---

[1] W. Miller, *Lie Theory and Special Functions*, (Academic Press, New York, 1968).
[2] N.Ya. Vilenkin, *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations*, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968).
[3] A.O. Barut, *Dynamical Groups and Generalized Symmetries in Quantum Theory*, (University of Canterbury Publ., Christchurch, New Zealand, 1971).
[4] A. Inomata and M.A. Kayed, Phys. Lett. A **108** (1985) 9.
[5] G. Junker and A. Inomata, in M.C. Gutzwiller, A. Inomata, J.R. Klauder and L. Streit (eds.), *Path Integrals from meV to MeV*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986) p. 315.
[6] A. Inomata and R. Wilson, in A.O. Barut and H.D. Doebner (eds.), *Conformal Groups and Related Symmetries*, (Springer, Berlin, 1986) p. 42.
[7] M. Böhm and G. Junker, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 1978.
[8] A.O. Barut, A. Inomata and G. Junker, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) 6271.
[9] A.O. Barut, A. Inomata and G. Junker, J. Phys. A **23** (1990) 1179.
[10] G. Junker, in V. Sayakanit, W. Sritrakool, J. Berananda, M.C. Gutzwiller, A. Inomata, S. Lundqvist, J.R. Klauder and L.S. Schulman (eds.), *Path Integrals from meV to MeV*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p. 217.
[11] S. Ozaki, Lecture notes at Kyushu University (1955) unpublished.
[12] S.F. Edwards and Y.V. Gulyaev, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **279** (1964) 229.
[13] D. Peak and A. Inomata, J. Math. Phys. **10** (1969) 1422.
[14] M. Böhm and G. Junker, J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989) 1195.
[15] A. Inomata, H. Kuratsuji and C.C. Gerry, *Path Integrals and Coherent States of $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
[16] L.S. Schulman, Phys. Rev. **176** (1968) 1558.
[17] J.S. Dowker, Ann. Phys. (NY) **62** (1971) 361.
[18] A.O. Barut, C.K.E. Schneider and R. Wilson, J. Phys. **20**, (1979) 2244.
[19] Private communication with Raj Wilson.
[20] I.H. Duru and H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. B **84** (1979) 185.
[21] P. Kustaanheimo and E. Stiefel, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965) 207.
[22] R. Ho and A. Inomata, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982) 231.

[23] L.H. Hostler, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964) 591.
[24] A. Inomata, in M.C. Gutzwiller, A. Inomata, J.R. Klauder and L. Streit (eds.), *Path Integrals from meV to MeV*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986) p. 433, and A. Inomata, in V. Sayakanit, W. Sritrakool, J. Berananda, M.C. Gutzwiller, A. Inomata, S. Lundqvist, J.R. Klauder and L.S. Schulman (eds.), *Path Integrals from meV to MeV*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p. 112.
[25] A. Inomata, Phys. Lett. A **101** (1984) 253.
[26] A.O. Barut, A. Inomata and R. Wilson, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) 4075.
[27] A.O. Barut, A. Inomata and R. Wilson, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) 4083.
[28] See, e.g., W. Fischer, H. Leschke and P. Müller, J. Phys. A **25** (1992) 3835.
[29] See, e.g., W. Fischer, H. Leschke and P. Müller, Ann. Phys. (NY) **227** (1993) 206.
[30] A. Inomata and G. Junker, in H.D. Doebner, W. Scherer and F. Schroeck (eds.), *Classical and Quantum Systems – Foundations and Symmetries*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993) p. 334.
[31] A.O. Barut and C. Fronsdal, Proc. Roy. Soc. (Lond.) A **287** (1966) 532.
[32] A.O. Barut and E.C. Phillips, Comm. Math. Phys. **8** (1968) 52.
[33] G. Lindblad and B. Nagel, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré A **13** (1970) 27.
[34] N. Mukunda and B. Radhakrishnan, J. Math. Phys. **14** (1973) 254.
[35] R.P. Feynman, Rev. Mod. Phys. **20** (1948) 367.
[36] M. Kac, *Probability and Related Topics in the Physical Science*, (Interscience, New York, 1959).
[37] E. Nelson, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964) 332.
[38] W. Faris, Pac. J. Math. **22** (1967) 47.
[39] B. Simon, *Quantum Mechanics for Hamiltonians Defined as Quadratic Forms* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971).
[40] I.M. Gel'fand, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **70** (1950) 769.
[41] F.A. Berezin and I.M. Gel'fand, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Series 2 **21** (1962) 193.
[42] M.C. Gutzwiller, Physica Scripta T **9** (1985) 184.
[43] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (Freeman, San Fransisco, 1970).
[44] C. Grosche and F. Steiner, Ann. Phys. (NY) **182** (1987) 120.
[45] P.D. Lax and R.S. Phillips, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978) 415.
[46] Grosche and Steiner [44] were the first to realize that the Green function can be given in closed form, but their result was incorrect. The correct form of the Green function is given in ref. [10].
[47] M. Moshinsky and C. Quesne, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 1780.
[48] V. Bargmann, Ann. Math. **48** (1947) 568.
[49] R.L. Shapiro and N.Ya. Vilenkin, in N.A. Markov, V.I. Man'ko and V.V. Dodonov (eds.), *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics* Vol. I, (VNU Science Press, Utrecht, 1986) p. 551.
[50] G. Junker, J. Phys. A **23** (1989) L881.
[51] A.O. Barut and R. Raczka, *Theory of Group Representations and Applications*, (Polish Scientific Publ., Warszawa, 2nd edition, 1980).